THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

Writ Petition No. 10911 OF 2006

ORDER:

The petitioner seeks a direction against the respondents 5 and 6 not to interfere with their possession over the temple or from demolishing any constructions made by it. It also seeks a direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to consider its representations dated 17.9.2005 and 25.5.2006. Certain ancillary reliefs were also claimed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

Basically, the petitioner did not make any complaint as to any interference or acts of demolition against the public authorities, namely, respondents 1 to 4. The relief claimed by the petitioner is mainly against respondents 5 and 6 who are said to be on the rival committee in relation to the same temple. In that view of the matter, the writ petition cannot be entertained. If the petitioner is so advised, it can file a suit and work out its remedies against respondents 5 and 6. So far as the consideration of the representations dated 17.9.2005 and 25.5.2006 are concerned, it is needless to observe that the respondents 1 to 4 are under obligation to examine the same and to take necessary steps.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J

DATED: 01.06.2006

PNB